



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/24/2003

09/060,872 04/15/1998 DAVID A. ESTELL GC527 1073 5100 7590 04/24/2003 GENENCOR INTERNATIONAL, INC. ATTENTION: LEGAL DEPARTMENT 925 PAGE MILL ROAD PALO ALTO, CA 94304 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
GENENCOR INTERNATIONAL, INC. ATTENTION: LEGAL DEPARTMENT 925 PAGE MILL ROAD PALO ALTO, CA 94304 EXAMINER SAUNDERS, DAVID A	09/060,872	04/15/1998	DAVID A. ESTELL	GC527	1073	
ATTENTION: LEGAL DEPARTMENT 925 PAGE MILL ROAD PALO ALTO, CA 94304 SAUNDERS, DAVID A	5100	7590 04/24/2003				
925 PAGE MILL ROAD PALO ALTO, CA 94304 SAUNDERS, DAVID A		,		EXAMINER		
				SAUNDERS, DAVID A		
	PALO ALTO,	CA 94304		APTIMIT	DARCD MUMBER	
				1644	٠ -	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7	
address	
WANCE. er reply to a application in est for Continued	
nichever is later. In no	
ON. See MPEP	
ropriate extension fee ate extension fee under ion; or (2) as set forth in y filed, may reduce any	
in ·	
ow);	
g or simplifying the	
l claims.	
y filed amendment	
es NOT place the	
ch were newly	
ered and an ed.	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/060,872 ESTELL ET AL.		
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David A Saunders, PhD	1644	

THE REPLY FILED 09 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendmen canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed: 20-23.
Claim(s) objected to: 25.
Claim(s) rejected: <u>14, 17-19, 24, 26-32</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10. Other:

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Deletion of "one or more cytokines" from claims 17-18 would require further consideration of prior art. Applicant urged addition of cytokines distinguished over prior art (pg 12 of response of 6/4/02).

> David a Saunders DAVID SAUNDERS
> PRIMARY EXAMINER
> ART UNIT 182 /6 //